

United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY Washington, D.C. 20240

United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians)
) Motion to Reconsider
v.) and Withdraw
Director, Eastern Oklahoma Region,) Decision
Bureau of Indian Affairs.) and
) Briefing Schedule

This matter arises from the United Keetoowah Band of Cherokee Indians' (UKB) application to have a 76-acre Community Services Parcel taken into trust. On June 24, 2009, I reversed and remanded the August 8, 2008, decision of the Regional Director, Eastern Region of Oklahoma denying UKB's application. The Regional Director had based her decision on perceived jurisdictional conflicts with the Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma (CNO), the Bureau of Indian Affairs' (BIA's) inability to administer the trust parcel, and the failure of the proposed fee-to-trust acquisition to qualify for a categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). In my June 24 2009 opinion, I declined to rule and explicitly reserved for additional consideration the question of my authority under Section 5 of the Indian Reorganization Act, as discussed below.

The UKB had previously appealed the August, 2008 Regional Director's decision to the Interior Board of Indian Appeals (IBIA). In September, 2008, the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Economic Development, acting under the authority delegated to him in the absence of an Assistant Secretary, took jurisdiction of the appeal from the IBIA pursuant to 25 C.F.R. § 2.20(c). The full procedural history is discussed in my June 24 decision.

In my June 24 decision, I remanded the matter to the Regional Director to apply the Department's checklist for a categorical exclusion under NEPA. I instructed the Regional Director that if she finds that the proposed fee-to-trust acquisition satisfies the checklist, she should hold the application "pending resolution of my authority to take the land into trust." In my June 24 decision, I left open the question of my authority to acquire the land in trust pending further consideration in the wake of the Supreme Court's decision in *Carcieri v. Salazar*, 2009 LEXIS 1633, 555 U.S. ____ (February 24, 2009).

Based on a careful review of the briefings, I hereby decline to suspend my June 24th decision, and accordingly, direct the Regional Director to proceed with application of the Department's checklist for a categorical exclusion under NEPA.

Suspension of my June 24 decision is not necessary because UKB's request to acquire land into trust was specifically not decided and was reserved for further consideration in light of the recent Supreme Court decision in *Carcieri v. Salazar*.

Further, I am hereby clarifying the effect of my June 24, 2009 decision: Specifically, my intent was not to render a finding on whether UKB was a successor-in-interest, but rather, was to state that the question as to whether UKB was a successor-in-interest raised a new issue that warranted additional analysis in light of *Carcieri v. Salazar*. I also wish to clarify that I did not intend to make any binding findings regarding the status of the historic Cherokee Tribe. As such, my June 24th decision was a partial ruling that did not make any finding of law or fact regarding my authority to take the land into trust on behalf of the UKB under any particular theory.

Accordingly, I hereby conclude that suspension and reconsideration of my June 24th decision is not necessary as it was not a final agency determination. To be clear, the June 24th order did not render a final ruling on the status of UKB as a successor-in-interest, nor did it render a final ruling on my authority to take the land into trust generally.

As such, I hereby request additional briefing from UKB and CNO on the issue of the import, if any, of the *Carcieri v. Salazar* decision. Said briefing should include a complete analysis of the successor-in-interest theory, as well as any other theories that UKB and/or CNO believe are pertinent to this discussion, whether or not raised in previous briefing. I also note that in *United Keetoowah Band v. United States*, No. 03-1433 L (Fed. Cl.), the parties filed an amended joint status report agreeing to stay those proceedings until December 7, 2009, in light of the proceedings in this case, and the court has entered an order amending the briefing schedule to that effect.

The briefing schedule in the instant case shall be as follows:

The UKB shall have until August 31, 2009, to file its opening brief.

The CNO and Regional Director shall have until September 21, 2009, to file a response, if any, to the UKB brief.

If response briefs are filed, the UKB shall have until October 2, 2009, in which to file a reply, if any.

Signed:

JUL **3 0** 2009

Larry Echo Hawk

Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs

Distribution list

DISTRIBUTION LIST

James C. McMillin McAfee & Taft A Professional Corporation 10th floor, Two Leadership Square 211 North Robinson Oklahoma City, OK 73102-7103

Michael Rossetti Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Robert S. Strauss Building Washington, D.C. 20036-1564

Jeanette Hanna Eastern Oklahoma Regional Director Bureau of Indian Affairs 3100 West Peak Blvd. Muskogee, Oklahoma 74401

Jerry Gidner, Director Bureau of Indian Affairs 1849 C Street, NW Mail Stop 4606 MIB Washington, D.C. 20240

Chadwick Smith, Chief Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma P.O. Box 948 Tahlequah, OK 74465-0948

Lloyd B. Miller
Donald Simon
Arthur Lazarus, Jr.
Jennifer J. Thomas
Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse, Endreson & Perry, LLP
1425 K Street, N.W. Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005